DRAFT

CERTIFIED MAIL - RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED

Omega Engineering Incorporated One Omega Drive Stamford, Connecticut 06906

The Bureau of Industry and Security, United States Department of Commerce ("BIS"), has reason to believe that Omega Engineering, Incorporated (Omega) has committed 17 violations of the Export Administration Regulations (the "Regulations"), which are issued under the authority of the Export Administration Act of 1979 (the "Act"). Specifically, BIS charges that Omega committed the following violations:

Charges 1-4 (15 C.F.R. § 764.2(a) - Engaging in Prohibited Conduct - Export of Laboratory Equipment In Violation of an Order Issued Under the EAR)

Omega made a series of exports of laboratory equipment, including shipments on or about June 25, 1997, July 3, 1997, July 11, 1997, and July 16, 1997, that were routed from the United States to Pakistan via Newport Electronics GmbH (Newport) in Germany. This laboratory equipment included load cells, load bolts, strain gauges and related parts. By that means, Omega, through its Vice President Ralph Michel (Michel), conducted or caused to be conducted the same export transaction for which the Department of Commerce had denied authorization in response to an export license application previously submitted by Omega. On or about April 9, 1997, the

¹ The Regulations are currently codified in the Code of Federal Regulations at 15 C.F.R. Parts 730-774 (2002). The current version of the Regulations govern the procedural aspects of this case. The violations charged occurred in 1997. The Regulations governing the violations at issue are found in the 1997 version of the Code of Federal Regulations (15 C.F.R. Parts 730-774 (1997)). The Regulations were issued pursuant to the Export Administration Act of 1979 ("Act"), 50 U.S.C. app. §§ 2401-2420 (2000). From August 21, 1994 through November 12, 2000, the Act was in lapse. During that period, the President, through Executive Order 12924, which had been extended by successive Presidential Notices, the last of which was issued on August 3, 2000 (3 C.F.R., 2000 Comp. 397 (2001)), continued the Regulations in effect under the International Emergency Economic Powers Act (50 U.S.C. §§1701 - 1706 (2000) (IEEPA). On November 13, 2000, the Act was reauthorized and it remained in effect through August 20, 2001. Since August 21, 2001, the Act has been in lapse and the President, through Executive Order 13222 of August 17, 2001 (3 C.F.R., 2001 Comp. 783 (2002)), as extended by the Notice of August 14, 2002 (67 Fed. Reg. 53721 (August 16, 2002)), has continued the Regulations in effect under IEEPA. The Act and Regulations are available on the Government Printing Office website at: http://w3.access.gpo.gov/bis/.

Department of Commerce denied export license application Z097230, which Omega had submitted for the export of certain laboratory equipment from the United States to Pakistan. Omega appealed this denial pursuant to Section 756.2 of the Regulations. On or about May 5, 1997, the Under Secretary of Commerce for Export Administration sustained the denial of the license application. In his letter of that date, which advised Omega of his decision pursuant to Section 756.2(c)(1), the Under Secretary set out the determinations that a license was required for this export and that Omega's application for such a license had been properly denied. Under Section 756.2(c)(2), this decision of the Under Secretary was final. In making the shipments on the dates specified above, Omega engaged in conduct prohibited by or contrary to the denial of export license application Z097230 and the Under Secretary's upholding of that denial, thereby committing four violations of Section 764.2(a) of the Regulations.

Charges 5-7 (15 C.F.R. § 764.2(g) - Misrepresentation of Material Fact - False Statements on Shipper's Export Declaration)

On or about June 25, 1997, Omega, through an employee, submitted or caused to be submitted a Shipper's Export Declaration (SED) regarding one of the shipments described above. Michel knew that items ultimately destined for Pakistan were included in such shipments to Newport in Germany, and then were to be shipped from Germany to Pakistan. This SED falsely identified Newport as the ultimate consignee and Germany as the country of ultimate destination. It also stated that the export qualified for export pursuant to "NLR" (no license required), when in fact a license was required for this export, as the Department of Commerce had previously advised Omega. By submitting or causing to be submitted this SED containing these three false statements, Omega committed three violations of Section 764.2(g) of the Regulations.

Charges 8-10 (15 C.F.R. § 764.2(g) - Misrepresentation of Material Fact - False Statements on Shipper's Export Declaration)

On or about July 3, 1997, Omega, through an employee, submitted or caused to be submitted a SED regarding one of the shipments described above. Michel knew that items ultimately destined for Pakistan were included in such shipments to Newport in Germany, and then were to be shipped from Germany to Pakistan. This SED falsely identified Newport as the ultimate consignee and Germany as the country of ultimate destination. It also stated that the export qualified for export pursuant to "NLR" (no license required), when in fact a license was required for this export, as the Department of Commerce had previously advised Omega. By submitting or causing to be submitted this SED containing these three false statements, Omega committed three violations of Section 764.2(g) of the Regulations.

Charges 11-13 (15 C.F.R. § 764.2(g) - Misrepresentation of Material Fact - False Statements on Shipper's Export Declaration)

On or about July 11, 1997, Omega, through an employee, submitted or caused to be submitted a SED regarding one of the shipments described above. Michel knew that items ultimately destined for Pakistan were included in such shipments to Newport in Germany, and then were to be shipped from Germany to Pakistan. This SED falsely identified Newport as the ultimate consignee and Germany as the country of ultimate destination. It also stated that the export qualified for export pursuant to "NLR" (no license required), when in fact a license was required for this export, as the Department of Commerce had previously advised Omega. By submitting or causing to be submitted this SED containing these three false statements, Omega committed three violations of Section 764.2(g) of the Regulations.

Charges 14-16 (15 C.F.R. § 764.2(g) - Misrepresentation of Material Fact - False Statements on Shipper's Export Declaration)

On or about July 16, 1997, Omega, through an employee, submitted or caused to be submitted a SED regarding one of the shipments described above. Michel knew that items ultimately destined for Pakistan were included in such shipments to Newport in Germany, and then were to be shipped from Germany to Pakistan. This SED falsely identified Newport as the ultimate consignee and Germany as the country of ultimate destination. It also stated that the export qualified for export pursuant to "NLR" (no license required), when in fact a license was required for this export, as the Department of Commerce had previously advised Omega. By submitting or causing to be submitted this SED containing these three false statements, Omega committed three violations of Section 764.2(g) of the Regulations.

Charge 17 (15 C.F.R. § 764.2(e) - Acting with Knowledge of a Violation)

In making or causing to be made the above-described exports, Omega, through Michel, acted with knowledge that such exports were prohibited by or contrary to the Department of Commerce's denial of its export license application and the Under Secretary's sustaining of that denial, as described in Charges 1-4 above. By selling and transferring the items described in Charges 1-4 above with knowledge that such violation was about to occur and was intended to occur in connection with the items, Omega violated Section 764.2(e) of the Regulations.

Accordingly, Omega is hereby notified that an administrative proceeding is instituted against it pursuant to Section 13(c) of the Act and Part 766 of the Regulations for the purpose of obtaining an order imposing administrative sanctions, including any or all of the following:

Omega Engineering Incorporated Charging Letter Page 4

The maximum civil penalty allowed by law of \$11,000 per violation;²

Denial of export privileges; and/or

Exclusion from practice before BIS.

If Omega fails to answer the charges contained in this letter within 30 days after being served with notice of issuance of this letter, that failure will be treated as a default. (Regulations, Sections 766.6 and 766.7.) If Omega defaults, the Administrative Law Judge may find the charges alleged in this letter are true without hearing or further notice to Omega. The Under Secretary of Commerce for Industry and Security may then impose up to the maximum penalty on each of the charges in this letter.

Omega is further notified that it is entitled to an agency hearing on the record if Omega files a written demand for one with its answer. (Regulations, Section 766.6.) Omega is also entitled to be represented by counsel or other authorized representative who has power of attorney to represent it. (Regulations, Sections 766.3(a) and 766.4.)

The Regulations provide for settlement without a hearing. (Regulations, Section 766.18.) Should you have a proposal to settle this case, you or your representative should transmit it to me through the attorney representing BIS named below.

The U.S. Coast Guard is providing administrative law judge services in connection with the matters set forth in this letter. Accordingly, Omega's answer must be filed in accordance with the instructions in Section 766.5(a) of the Regulations with:

U.S. Coast Guard ALJ Docketing Center 40 S. Gay Street Baltimore, Maryland 21202-4022

In addition, a copy of Omega's answer must be served on BIS at the following address:

² See the Federal Civil Penalties Adjustment Act of 1990 (28 U.S.C. § 2461, note (2000)) and 15 C.F.R. § 6.4(a)(2).

Omega Engineering Incorporated Charging Letter Page 5

DRAFT

Chief Counsel for Industry and Security Attention: Philip D. Golrick Room H-3839 United States Department of Commerce 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20230

Philip D. Golrick is the attorney representing BIS in this case; any communications that you may wish to have concerning this matter should occur through him. He may be contacted by telephone at (202) 482-5301.

Sincerely,

Mark D. Menefee Director Office of Export Enforcement

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE BUREAU OF INDUSTRY AND SECURITY WASHINGTON, D.C. 20230

In the Matter of:	
OMEGA ENGINEERING, INC.)
One Omega Drive)
Stamford, Connecticut 06907)
Respondent.	
Respondent.)

SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT

This Settlement Agreement ("Agreement") is made by and between Respondent, Omega Engineering, Inc. ("Omega"), and the Bureau of Industry and Security, United States Department of Commerce ("BIS") (collectively referred to as "Parties"), pursuant to Section 766.18(a) of the Export Administration Regulations (15 C.F.R. Parts 730-774 (2002)) (the "Regulations"), issued pursuant to the Export Administration Act of 1979, as amended (50 U.S.C. app. §§ 2401-2420 (2000)) (the "Act"), and which are currently maintained in force under the International Emergency Economic Powers Act (50 U.S.C. §§1701 - 1706 (2000)).

¹The Regulations are currently codified in the Code of Federal Regulations at 15 C.F.R. Parts 730-774 (2002). The current version of the Regulations govern the procedural aspects of this case. The charged violations occurred in 1997. The Regulations governing the charged violations are found in the 1997 version of the Code of Federal Regulations (15 C.F.R. Parts 730-774 (1997)).

From August 21, 1994, through November 12, 2000, the Act was in lapse. During that period, the President, through Executive Order 12924, which had been extended by successive Presidential Notices, the last of which was August 3, 2000 (3 C.F.R., 2000 Comp. 397 (2001)), continued the Regulations in effect under the International Emergency Economic Powers Act (50 U.S.C. §§ 1701 - 1706 (2000)) ("IEEPA"). On November 13, 2000, the Act was reauthorized and it remained in effect through August 20, 2001. Since August 21, 2001, the Act has been in lapse and the President, through Executive Order 13222 of August 17, 2001 (3 C.F.R., 2001 Comp. 783 (2002)), as extended by the Notice of August 14, 2002 (67 Fed. Reg. 53721 (August 16, 2002)), has continued the Regulations in effect under IEEPA.

Settlement Agreement Omega Engineering, Inc. Page 2 of 8

WHEREAS, BIS has notified Omega of its intention to initiate an administrative proceeding against Omega, pursuant to the Act and the Regulations;

WHEREAS, BIS has issued a proposed charging letter to Omega that alleged that Omega committed 17 violations of the Regulations, specifically:

1. Four Violations of § 764.2(a): Prohibited Conduct: Omega made a series of exports of laboratory equipment, including shipments on or about June 25, 1997, July 3, 1997, July 11, 1997, and July 16, 1997, that were routed from the United States to Pakistan via Newport Electronics GmbH (Newport) in Germany. This laboratory equipment included load cells, load bolts, strain gauges and related parts. By that means, Omega, through its Vice President Ralph Michel (Michel), conducted or caused to be conducted the same export transaction for which the Department of Commerce had denied authorization in response to an export license application previously submitted by Omega. On or about April 9, 1997, the Department of Commerce denied export license application Z097230, which Omega had submitted for the export of certain laboratory equipment from the United States to Pakistan. Omega appealed this denial pursuant to Section 756.2 of the Regulations. On or about May 5, 1997, the Under Secretary of Commerce for Export Administration sustained the denial of the license application. In making the shipments on the dates specified above, Omega engaged in conduct prohibited by or contrary to the denial of export license application Z097230 and

Settlement Agreement Omega Engineering, Inc. Page 3 of 8

- the Under Secretary's upholding of that denial, thereby committing four violations of Section 764.2(a) of the Regulations.
- 2. Twelve Violations of 15 C.F.R. § 764.2(g): False Statements: On or about June 25, July 3, July 11, and July 16, 1997, Omega, through an employee, submitted or caused to be submitted a Shipper's Export Declaration (SED) regarding one of the shipments described above. Michel knew that items ultimately destined for Pakistan were included in such shipments to Newport in Germany, and then were to be shipped from Germany to Pakistan. Each SED falsely identified Newport as the ultimate consignee and Germany as the country of ultimate destination. Each SED also stated that the export qualified for export pursuant to "NLR" (no license required), when in fact a license was required, as the Department of Commerce had previously advised Omega. By submitting or causing to be submitted these four SED's, each of which contained these three false statements, Omega committed twelve violations of Section 764.2(g) of the Regulations.
- 3. One Violation of 15 C.F.R. § 764.2(e): Acting With Knowledge of a Violation: In making or causing to be made the above-described exports, Omega, through Michel, acted with knowledge that such exports were prohibited by or contrary to the Department of Commerce's denial of its export license application and the Under Secretary's sustaining of that denial, as described above. By selling and transferring the items described above with knowledge that such violation was

about to occur and was intended to occur in connection with the items, Omega violated Section 764.2(e) of the Regulations.

WHEREAS, Omega has reviewed the proposed charging letter and is aware of the allegations made against it and the administrative sanctions which could be imposed against it if the allegations are found to be true;

WHEREAS, Omega fully understands the terms of this Agreement and the Order of the Assistant Secretary of Commerce for Export Enforcement to be issued to give effect to this Agreement (the "Order");

WHEREAS, Omega enters into this Agreement voluntarily and with full knowledge of its rights;

WHEREAS, Omega states that no promises or representations have been made to it other than the agreements and considerations herein expressed;

WHEREAS, Omega neither admits nor denies the allegations contained in the proposed charging letter;

WHEREAS, Omega wishes to settle and dispose of all matters alleged in the proposed charging letter by entering into this Agreement; and

WHEREAS, Omega agrees to be bound by the Order, when entered;

NOW THEREFORE, the Parties hereby agree as follows:

1. BIS has jurisdiction over Omega, under the Regulations, in connection with the matters alleged in the proposed charging letter.

Settlement Agreement Omega Engineering, Inc. Page 5 of 8

- 2. BIS and Omega agree that the following sanctions shall be imposed against Omega in complete settlement of the violations of the Regulations set forth in the proposed charging letter:
 - a. Omega shall be assessed a civil penalty in the amount of \$187,000 which shall be paid to the U.S. Department of Commerce, in accordance with the instructions provided, as follows: \$87,000 to be paid within 30 days from the date of entry of the Order; \$50,000 to be paid within one year from the date of entry of the Order; and \$50,000 to be paid within two years from the date of entry of the Order.
 - b. The timely payment of the civil penalty agreed to in paragraph 2.a. is hereby made a condition to the granting, restoration, or continuing validity of any export license, permission, or privilege granted, or to be granted, to Omega. Failure to make timely payment of the civil penalty set forth above may result in the denial of all of Omega's export privileges for a period of one year from the date of imposition of the penalty.
 - c. Omega, its successors or assigns, and, when acting for or on behalf of Omega, its officers, representatives, agents or employees ("Denied Persons") may not, for a period of five years from the date of entry of the Order, participate, directly or indirectly, in any way in any transaction involving any commodity, software or technology (hereinafter collectively referred to as "item") that is subject to the Regulations and that is exported or to be exported from the United States to Pakistan, or in any other activity subject to the Regulations that involves Pakistan, including, but not limited to:

Settlement Agreement Omega Engineering, Inc. Page 6 of 8

- Applying for, obtaining, or using any license, License Exception, or export control document that involves export to Pakistan;
- ii. Carrying on negotiations concerning, or ordering, buying, receiving, using, selling, delivering, storing, disposing of, forwarding, transporting, financing, or otherwise servicing in any way, any transaction involving any item that is subject to the Regulations and that is exported or to be exported from the United States to Pakistan, or in any other activity subject to the Regulations that involves Pakistan; or
- iii. Benefitting in any way from any transaction involving any item exported or to be exported from the United States to Pakistan that is subject to the Regulations, or in any other activity subject to the Regulations that involves Pakistan.
- 3. Omega agrees that, subject to the approval of this Agreement pursuant to paragraph 8 hereof, it hereby waives all rights to further procedural steps in this matter (except with respect to any alleged violations of this Agreement or the Order, when entered), including, without limitation, any right to: (a) an administrative hearing regarding the allegations in the proposed charging letter; (b) request a refund of any civil penalty paid pursuant to this Agreement and the Order, when entered; and (c) seek judicial review or otherwise contest the validity of this Agreement or the Order, when entered.

Settlement Agreement Omega Engineering, Inc. Page 7 of 8

- 4. Upon entry of the Order, BIS will not initiate any further administrative proceeding against Omega in connection with any violation of the Act or the Regulations arising out the transactions identified in the proposed charging letter.
- 5. Omega understands that BIS will make the proposed charging letter, this Agreement, and the Order, when entered, available to the public.
- 6. This Agreement is for settlement purposes only. Therefore, if this Agreement is not accepted and the Order is not issued by the Assistant Secretary of Commerce for Export Enforcement pursuant to Section 766.18(a) of the Regulations, no Party may use this Agreement in any administrative or judicial proceeding and the Parties shall not be bound by the terms contained in this Agreement in any subsequent administrative or judicial proceeding.
- 7. No agreement, understanding, representation or interpretation not contained in this Agreement may be used to vary or otherwise affect the terms of this Agreement or the Order, when entered, nor shall this Agreement serve to bind, constrain, or otherwise limit any action by any other agency or department of the United States Government with respect to the facts and circumstances addressed herein.
- 8. This Agreement shall become binding on BIS only when the Assistant Secretary of Commerce for Export Enforcement approves it by entering the Order, which will have the same force and effect as a decision and order issued after a full administrative hearing on the record.

Settlement Agreement Omega Engineering, Inc. Page 8 of 8

9. Each signatory affirms that he has authority to enter into this Agreement and to bind his respective party to the terms and conditions set forth herein.

BUREAU OF INDUSTRY AND SECURITY U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

OMEGA ENGINEERING, INC.

Mark Menefee

Director

Office of Export Enforcement

Date: 5/6/03

S. Christine Riggs, Esq.

Counsel

Omega Engineering, Inc.

Date: Heril 28, 2003.

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE BUREAU OF INDUSTRY AND SECURITY WASHINGTON, D.C. 20230

In the Matter of:)		
)		
OMEGA ENGINEERING, INC.)		
One Omega Drive)		
Stamford, Connecticut 06907)		
)		
Respondent.)		
·)		
	-	<u>ORDER</u>	

The Bureau of Industry and Security, United States Department of Commerce ("BIS"), having notified Omega Engineering, Inc. ("Omega") of its intention to initiate an administrative proceeding against it pursuant to Section 13(c) of the Export Administration Act of 1979, as amended (50 U.S.C. app. §§ 2401-2420 (2000)) ("Act"),¹ and the Export Administration Regulations (currently codified at 15 C.F.R. Parts 730-774 (2003)) ("Regulations"),² based on allegations in a proposed charging letter issued to Omega that alleged that Omega committed 17 violations of the Regulations. Specifically, the charges are:

From August 21, 1994 through November 12, 2000, the Act was in lapse. During that period, the President, through Executive Order 12924, which had been extended by successive Presidential Notices, the last of which was August 3, 2000 (3 C.F.R., 2000 Comp. 397 (2001)), continued the Regulations in effect under the International Emergency Economic Powers Act (50 U.S.C. §§ 1701 - 1706 (2000)) ("IEEPA"). On November 13, 2000, the Act was reauthorized and it remained in effect through August 20, 2001. Since August 21, 2001, the Act has been in lapse and the President, through Executive Order 13222 of August 17, 2001 (3 C.F.R., 2001 Comp. 783 (2002)), as extended by the Notice of August 7, 2003 (68 Fed. Reg. 47833, August 11, 2003)), has continued the Regulations in effect under IEEPA.

² The Regulations are currently codified in the Code of Federal Regulations at 15 C.F.R. Parts 730-774 (2003). The current version of the Regulations govern the procedural aspects of this case. The charged violations occurred in 1997. The Regulations governing the charged violations are found in the 1997 version of the Code of Federal Regulations (15 C.F.R. Parts 730-774 (1997)).

Omega Engineering, Inc. Order Page 2 of 7

- 1. Four Violations of § 764.2(a): Prohibited Conduct: Omega made a series of exports of laboratory equipment, including shipments on or about June 25, 1997, July 3, 1997, July 11, 1997, and July 16, 1997, that were routed from the United States to Pakistan via Newport Electronics GmbH (Newport) in Germany. This laboratory equipment included load cells, load bolts, strain gauges and related parts. By that means, Omega, through its Vice President Ralph Michel (Michel), conducted or caused to be conducted the same export transaction for which the Department of Commerce had denied authorization in response to an export license application previously submitted by Omega. On or about April 9, 1997, the Department of Commerce denied export license application Z097230, which Omega had submitted for the export of certain laboratory equipment from the United States to Pakistan. Omega appealed this denial pursuant to Section 756.2 of the Regulations. On or about May 5, 1997, the Under Secretary of Commerce for Export Administration sustained the denial of the license application. In making the shipments on the dates specified above, Omega engaged in conduct prohibited by or contrary to the denial of export license application Z097230 and the Under Secretary's upholding of that denial, thereby committing four violations of Section 764.2(a) of the Regulations.
- 2. Twelve Violations of 15 C.F.R. § 764.2(g): False Statements: On or about June 25, July 3, July 11, and July 16, 1997, Omega, through an employee, submitted or

caused to be submitted a Shipper's Export Declaration (SED) regarding one of the shipments described above. Michel knew that items ultimately destined for Pakistan were included in such shipments to Newport in Germany, and then were to be shipped from Germany to Pakistan. Each SED falsely identified Newport as the ultimate consignee and Germany as the country of ultimate destination. Each SED also stated that the export qualified for export pursuant to "NLR" (no license required), when in fact a license was required, as the Department of Commerce had previously advised Omega. By submitting or causing to be submitted these four SED's, each of which contained these three false statements, Omega committed twelve violations of Section 764.2(g) of the Regulations.

3. One Violation of 15 C.F.R. § 764.2(e): Acting With Knowledge of a Violation: In making or causing to be made the above-described exports, Omega, through Michel, acted with knowledge that such exports were prohibited by or contrary to the Department of Commerce's denial of its export license application and the Under Secretary's sustaining of that denial, as described above. By selling and transferring the items described above with knowledge that such violation was about to occur and was intended to occur in connection with the items, Omega violated Section 764.2(e) of the Regulations.

BIS and Omega having entered into a Settlement Agreement pursuant to Section 766.18(a) of the Regulations whereby they agreed to settle this matter in accordance with the

Omega Engineering, Inc.

Order

Page 4 of 7

terms and conditions set forth therein, and the terms of the Settlement Agreement having been approved by me;

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED:

FIRST, that Omega shall pay a civil penalty of \$187,000 to the U.S. Department of Commerce, as follows: \$87,000 to be paid within 30 days from the date of entry of the Order; \$50,000 to be paid within one year from the date of entry of the Order; and \$50,000 to be paid within two years from the date of entry of the Order. At its option, Omega may accelerate this payment schedule. Payment shall be made in the manner specified in the attached instructions.

SECOND, that, pursuant to the Debt Collection Act of 1982, as amended (31 U.S.C. §§ 3701-3720E (1983 and Supp. 2000)), the civil penalty owed under this Order accrues interest as more fully described in the attached Notice, and, if payment is not made by the due date specified herein, Omega will be assessed, in addition to the full amount of the civil penalty and interest, a penalty charge and an administrative charge, as more fully described in the attached Notice.

THIRD, that the timely payment of the civil penalty set forth above is hereby made a condition to the granting, restoration, or continuing validity of any export license, license exception, permission, or privilege granted, or to be granted, to Omega. Accordingly, if Omega should fail to pay the civil penalty in a timely manner, the undersigned may enter an Order denying all of Omega's export privileges for a period of one year from the date of entry of this Order.

FOURTH, that for a period of five years from the date of this Order, Omega Engineering, Inc., One Omega Drive, Stamford, Connecticut, 06907, its successors or assigns, and, when acting for or on behalf of Omega, its officers, representatives, agents or employees ("Denied Persons") may not, directly or indirectly, participate in any way in any transaction involving any commodity, software, or technology (hereinafter collectively referred to as "item") that is subject to the Regulations and that is exported or to be exported from the United States to Pakistan, or in any other activity subject to the Regulations that involves Pakistan, including, but not limited to:

- A. Applying for, obtaining, or using any license, License Exception, or export control document that involves export to Pakistan;
- B. Carrying on negotiations concerning, or ordering, buying, receiving, using, selling, delivering, storing, disposing of, forwarding, transporting, financing, or otherwise servicing in any way, any transaction involving any item that is subject to the Regulations and that is exported or to be exported from the United States to Pakistan, or in any other activity subject to the Regulations that involves Pakistan; or
- C. Benefitting in any way from any transaction involving any item exported or to be exported from the United States to Pakistan that is subject to the Regulations, or in any other activity subject to the Regulations that involves Pakistan.

FIFTH, that no person may, directly or indirectly, do any of the actions described below with respect to an item that is subject to the Regulations and that has been, will be, or is intended to be exported or reexported to Pakistan:

- A. Export or reexport to or on behalf of a Denied Person any item subject to the Regulations to Pakistan;
- B. Take any action that facilitates the acquisition or attempted acquisition by a

 Denied Person of the ownership, possession, or control of any item subject to the

 Regulations that has been or will be exported from the United States to Pakistan,
 including financing or other support activities related to a transaction whereby a

 Denied Person acquires or attempts to acquire such ownership, possession or
 control;
- C. Take any action to acquire from or to facilitate the acquisition or attempted acquisition from a Denied Person of any item subject to the Regulations that has been exported from the United States to Pakistan;
- D. Obtain from a Denied Person in the United States any item subject to the Regulations with knowledge or reason to know that the item will be, or is intended to be, exported from the United States to Pakistan; or
- E. Engage in any transaction to service any item subject to the Regulations that has been or will be exported from the United States to Pakistan and that is owned, possessed or controlled by a Denied Person, or service any item, of whatever origin, that is owned, possessed or controlled by a Denied Person if such service

Omega Engineering, Inc.

Order

Page 7 of 7

involves the use of any item subject to the Regulations that has been or will be

exported from the United States to Pakistan. For purposes of this paragraph,

servicing means installation, maintenance, repair, modification or testing.

SIXTH, that after notice and opportunity for comment as provided in Section 766.23 of

the Regulations, any person, firm, corporation, or business organization related to Omega by

affiliation, ownership, control, or position of responsibility in the conduct of trade or related

services may also be subject to the provisions of this Order.

SEVENTH, that this Order does not prohibit any export, reexport, or other transaction

subject to the Regulations where the only items involved that are subject to the Regulations are

the foreign-produced direct product of U.S.-origin technology.

EIGHTH, that the proposed charging letter, the Settlement Agreement, and this Order

shall be made available to the public.

This Order, which constitutes the final agency action in this matter, is effective

immediately.

alu Myers

sistant Secretary of Commerce

for Export Enforcement

Entered this 12 day of November 2003.